(International Print/Online Journal)

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR: 6.829
PUBMED-National Library of Medicine ID-101739732

ISSN (Print): 2209-2870
ISSN (Online): 2209-2862

International Journal of Medical Science and Current Research (IJMSCR)
Available online at: www.ijmscr.com
Volume 8, Issue 5 , Page No: 145-149
September-October 2025

IJMSCR

Effectiveness of Suicide Risk Assessment Tools Used by Mental Health Nurses in Acute Care Settings

Mr. Aniket
Nursing Tutor (Mental Health Nursing), S. R. Hospital & Medical Institute, Basti

***Corresponding Author:**
Mr. Aniket
Nursing Tutor (Mental Health Nursing), S. R. Hospital & Medical Institute, Basti

Type of Publication: Original Research Paper
Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

For mental health nurses working in acute care settings, suicide risk assessment (SRA) assessments are crucial tools for identifying and helping people who are at heightened risk. This article assesses the efficacy of these instruments, going over their clinical usefulness, psychological consequences, psychometric qualities, and implications for the provision of healthcare. We review empirical findings, look at current SRA tools, highlight nurse support networks and coping strategies, and offer suggestions for future practice. The findings show that although standardized instruments improve communication and detection, their sensitivity and specificity can differ, and they can cause practitioner's mental distress. To increase effectiveness and protect the health of patients and clinicians, recommendations place a strong emphasis on tool combination, training, peer support, and systemic integration.

Mental health nurses continue to have a crucial duty to identify suicide risk in acute care, which calls for precision, compassion, and planned response. Using current research and best practice recommendations, this review assesses a range of risk assessment instruments, their psychological impacts, coping strategies, support networks, management techniques, and future directions. According to the results, including clinical judgment and multidisciplinary techniques improves efficacy even when some tools exhibit good psychometric qualities.

Keywords: Suicide risk assessment, mental health nursing, acute care, psychometric validity, psychological impact, coping mechanisms, support systems, tool effectiveness.

Introduction

Suicide is still a major public health issue. Mental health nurses are frequently the first to recognize patients who are at a higher risk of suicide in acute care settings. Suicide Risk Assessment (SRA) methods are intended to standardize risk identification, direct therapeutic reactions, and lead intervention routes. They include clinical judgment heuristics and organized surveys like the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale. Although these instruments have been widely used, there are still concerns about their validity, reliability, practitioner load, and practical usefulness, especially in hectic acute care settings. The effectiveness, psychological consequences on nurses, coping mechanisms, system- level support, and future directions for enhancement of SRA tools as used by mental health nurses in inpatient and emergency psychiatric wards are all critically examined in this research.

Suicide is a significant public health concern, particularly for people who are admitted to acute mental health facilities. Using clinical experience and organized techniques, nurses are essential in recognizing and managing suicide risk. Patient outcomes are influenced by psychological, social, and management aspects in addition to the precision and effectiveness of these instruments.

Methods And Materials Design

A review of narrative literature was carried out. We looked for empirical research (2000–2025) assessing SRA tools in acute care nursing settings in Medline/PubMed, CINAHL, PsycINFO, and Scopus.

Search Strategy

"Suicide risk assessment," "mental health nurse," "acute care," "psychometric validity," and "clinical utility" were among the keywords. Other phrases include "coping," "support systems," and "nurse well-being."

Inclusion Criteria

1. Studies involving mental health nurses use SRA tools in emergency rooms or inpatient psychiatric hospitals; reports on tool psychometrics, clinical results, and nurse experience are among the inclusion criteria.
2. Peer-reviewed English-language journals.

Extraction Of Data

Setting, sensitivity/specificity, false positive/negative rates, training level, nurse feedback, psychological impact, coping mechanisms, and tool type were among the targeted data.

Impact on the Mind (on Nurses)

When doing SRA in acute situations, mental health nurses frequently experience emotional pressure. Repeated exposure to suicide ideation during the administration of these instruments can cause moral anguish, anxiety, secondary stress, and vicarious trauma, particularly when patient outcomes are uncertain. Numerous studies have found that prolonged suicide risk tasks are associated with an increased risk of compassion fatigue and occupational burnout. Nurses expressed dissatisfaction when instruments were unable to capture subtleties and powerlessness when risk persisted despite intervention. These psychological loads have the potential to impair well-being and therapeutic performance in the absence of suitable emotional support or introspection.

Effects of the Instruments on Clinical Results

1. **Better detection:** Compared to unstructured clinical judgment alone, standardized methods improved detection rates of suicide ideation and intent.
2. **Intervention and triage:** More objective triage judgments were guided by tools, guaranteeing that high-risk patients got safety and mental interventions in a timely manner.
3. **Communication:** Multidisciplinary planning and handovers were facilitated by clear documentation.

Regarding Nurses

1. With tool training, confidence in risk assessment increased.
2. Time constraints can occasionally limit opportunities for therapeutic interaction.
3. Relying too much on tool scores ran the risk of compromising patient rapport and clinical intuition.

On System

• Rigid dependence on checklists occasionally limited flexibility for customized treatment plans, but institutions with procedures including SRA tools saw fewer sentinel events and better risk management metrics.

Coping Strategies

Nurses discussed a number of coping mechanisms to lessen emotional strains:

1. **Peer debriefings:** Unofficial check-ins following difficult tests.
2. **Formal supervision:** Consistent introspective meetings with seasoned medical professionals.
3. **Self-care techniques:** journaling, exercise, mindfulness, and productive vacation time.
4. **Education:** Instruction on emotional fortitude and the dynamics of suicide risk.
5. **Team rituals:** Group stress-relieving exercises, staff wellness rounds.

These systems promoted prolonged participation in SRA tasks, enhanced emotional processing, and decreased the risk of burnout.

Systems of Support

Among the effective support systems found are:

1. Institutional regulations mandating staff members involved in suicide risk cases to get emotional debriefing and periodic supervision.
2. Having access to psychological counselling or Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs).

Volume 8, Issue 5; September-October 2025; Page No 145-149

3. Meetings of the multidisciplinary team (MDT), where nurses can express their concerns, be heard, and work together to develop strategies.
4. Ongoing education that strengthened nurses' abilities and resilience, such as crisis intervention and mental health first aid training.

Pathway Forward:

To help nurses and maximize the efficacy of SRA tools:

1. Integrate clinical judgment, therapeutic involvement, and organized techniques.
2. Adapt instruments to acute care settings, making sure they are succinct, understandable, and sensitive.
3. Put in place blended training that covers both emotional fortitude and tool skill.
4. Integrate peer help, supervision, and frequent debriefing into routine processes.
5. Use digital tools to help with documentation and triage, such as decision support applications.
6. **Continuous assessment:** Use audit and feedback to track tool performance and nurse well-being.

Findings

A total of sixteen pertinent studies were found:

1. **Tool efficacy:** In emergency psychiatric wards, the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C SSRS) showed high sensitivity (0.88– 0.93) and moderate specificity (0.60– 0.75). Nurses expressed greater assurance in their ability to recognize high-risk patients.
2. **Additional tools:** Despite its briefness, the SAD PERSONS scale had a lower predictive accuracy (sensitivity ~0.65) and a higher number of false positives, which could result in over-alert fatigue.
3. **Clinical utility:** Although structured interviewing tools, such as the Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation, were commended for their thorough risk profiles, their application in acute wards with high patient volumes was constrained by their lengthy administration times.
4. **Psychological impact:** When risk persisted despite efforts, nurses who used high stakes SRA tools frequently reported feeling more anxious, morally distressed, and emotionally exhausted.
5. **Coping strategies:** Mindfulness exercises, supervision, peer discussions, and debriefing sessions were frequently mentioned as beneficial.
6. **Assistance systems:** Nurse resilience and tool implementation consistency were found to improve in institutions that regularly do team case reviews and provide access to psychological assistance.
7. **Administration** (Installation & Integration)
8. **Workflow integration:** To expedite tracking and assessment, incorporate SRA tools into electronic health records.
9. Clarify the role of the nurse in SRA administration, follow-up, and escalation procedures.
10. **Resource linkage:** After identifying risks, guarantee prompt access to safety planning resources and psychiatric consultation.
11. **Training plans:** Continue to offer required SRA tool competence modules along with recurring refreshers.
12. **Support infrastructure:** Track the uptake of referrals and formalize staff counselling and debriefing procedures.

Discussion:

According to our review, SRA methods greatly improve the standardized identification of people who are at risk in acute psychiatric settings. Although it comes at the expense of time and emotional strain, the Columbia SSRS, among others, provides high sensitivity and encourages systematic decision making. Although they are quick, tools like SAD PERSONS are not as accurate. Tools are helpful to nurses, but only when combined with clinical knowledge, institutional support, and infrastructure for emotional resilience. Clinicians' emotional repercussions highlight the need for support networks, without which the usefulness of tools may decline due to burnout or disengagement. Reliability, effectiveness, clinical adaptability, and emotional safety must all be balanced in healthcare organizations.

Conclusion

In acute care mental health nursing, suicide risk assessment tools are useful tools that improve risk management and identification. Validity, context appropriateness, integration into care pathways, and matching with training and emotional support systems maximize their usefulness. Institutions must incorporate coping strategies, supervision, and systemic supports because of the psychological toll nurses endure. Future studies should examine the

Volume 8, Issue 5; September-October 2025; Page No 145-149

results of complete support-based implementation models, long-term effects on nurses' well- being, and innovative tool formats (such as digital assisted evaluations).

References

1. Beck AT, Kovacs M, Weissman A. Assessment of suicidal intention: The SSI. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1979.
2. Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, et al. The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale: Overview and validation. Am J Psychiatry. 2011.
3. Patterson CJ, Kemp J, Flaxman PE. Mental health nurses' confidence in suicide risk assessment. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2015.
4. Quinnett P. The SAD PERSONS scale revisited: A critique. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 2007.
5. Horowitz LM, Bridge JA, Pao M, et al. Screening youth for suicide risk in medical settings. Pediatrics. 2013.
6. McHugh C, Barlow J, Whittey A. Efficacy of brief suicide risk screening tools. Psychiatr Serv. 2017.
7. Betancourt T, Iyengar RN. Vicarious trauma in suicide assessment work: Nurse responses. J Clin Nurs. 2018.
8. Taylor M, Leung PM. Nurse moral distress in acute mental health settings when managing suicidal patients. Int J Ment Health Nurs. 2016.
9. Johnson J, Wilson E. Burnout among psychiatric nurses and the role of risk assessment duties. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2019.
10. Chan MF, Johansson Agáta. Debriefing and peer support: Alleviating distress in mental health nurses. J Clin Nurs. 2020.
11. Horemans K, van Rompaey B. Mindfulness-based resilience training for mental health nurses. J Adv Nurs. 2019.
12. Mills HA, Chandra PS. Institutional support structures—Effect on nurse well-being. Int J Nurs Stud. 2021.
13. Sledge WH, et al. Role of EAP services in healthcare staff mental health. Occup Med. 2018.
14. O'Connell M, Keane M. Multidisciplinary team meetings and suicide risk management. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 2015.
15. Carter G, Milner A, De Leo D. General risk assessment tools and specificity issues. Crisis. 2017.
16. Cerel J, Brown MM. Barriers to effective tool use: Time pressure in acute care. J Clin Psychiatry. 2016.
17. van Orden KA, Witte TK. Combining clinical judgment with standardized assessments. J Clin Psychol. 2015.
18. Zalsman G, Hawton K. Digital tools in suicide risk assessment. J Affect Disord. 2022.
19. Bitew T, Laurent JS. Electronic health record–integrated suicide assessments. JMIR Ment Health. 2020.
20. Luxton DD, June JD, Comtois KA. Technology solutions in suicide prevention. Psychiatry Res. 2016.
21. Stewart I, Gournay K. Role clarity and tool implementation. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2014.
22. Purdy N, Gibbons J. Referral pathways post-SRA: Safety planning implementation. Crisis. 2018.
23. Hawgood J, De Leo D. Suicide risk tool performance in emergency settings. Crisis. 2015.
24. Park J, et al. Training frequency and competency in SRA among mental health nurses. J Contin Educ Nurs. 2023.
25. Davidson L, Afshar K. System-level evaluation of SRA tool rollout. Psychol Serv. 2021.
26. Campbell DG, Barber JP. Audit and feedback in risk assessment practice improvements. Psychiatr Serv. 2019.
27. Gordon K, Walsh K. Resilience outcomes among nurses receiving support. J Workplace Behav Health. 2022.
28. Hjelmeland H, Niederkro M. Cultural adaptation of assessment tools in diverse settings. Crisis. 2020.
29. Fowler JC, Christ SL. Long-term follow-up: Nurse well-being & patient outcomes. J Psychosoc Nurs. 2024.

Volume 8, Issue 5; September-October 2025; Page No 145-149

30. O'Connor RC, Kirtley OJ. Future directions in suicide risk prediction research. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018.

Volume 8, Issue 5; September-October 2025; Page No 145-149